

# The Social-Economic Impact of the Delay in the Prosecution of Patent Applications

Matthew Dick Partner













# Overview

- The Brazilian landscape: an outsider's perspective
- Some preliminary thoughts on delays
- The European Patent Office and some recent developments
- Conclusions













#### It's not just patents...

#### ... and not just Brazil...



Protect your intellectual property in the European Union

#### EUTM file information

BABY-DRY

#### Timeline



#### Trade mark information

	and the second se		
Name	BABY-DRY	Fling data	09/04/1996
Filing number	000200006	Registration date	
Basis	EUTM	Expiry date	
Date of receipt	09/04/1996	Designation date	
Туре	Word	Filing language	English
Nature	Individual	Second language	French
Nice classes	16, 25 ( Nice Classification )	Application reference	TM-105780/EU-BB
Vienna Classification		Trade mark status	Application opposed
		Acquired distinctiveness	No

#### Goods and services

English (en)

16 Disposable diapers made out of paper or cellulose.

25 Diapers made out of textile.









### Introduction

The BABY-DRY saga: the EUTM process

- Filed: 9 April 1996
- Objections on absolute grounds; appeals...
- Published: November 2002
- Opposed: February 2003
- Decision (opp rejected): December 2014
- Appeal pending...







# The position in Brazil

- "It is an old question in Brazil, how to cope with patent and trademark applications which have been pending before the BPTO for a long time."
- Over 10 years from filing for patent examination to begin
- Currently backlog of 230,000+ applications



CONGRESSO INTERNACIONAL DA PROPRIEDADE INTELECTUAL DA ABPI INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - ABPI BRASIL 20 A 22 DE AGOSTO RJ 2017 WINDSOR BARRA HOTEL





# The position in Brazil

- Rule No. 193/2017 to expedite examination of patents by making use of search reports issued by International Search and Preliminary Examining Authorities recently revoked
- New simplified allowance procedure without technical examination if certain requirements met? (Public consultation ends today...!)
- Recent Court Orders for BPTO to proceed immediately with decision of long-pending Applications (Federal Constitution)











# Social-economic impact of delays

- Undeniably patent application processes in some countries are not as fast as Applicants would hope
- Applicants want to see ROI; ability to enforce against competitors
- Yet in other countries it may be quicker than they would like...
- Quick examination = poor examination = poor patents...?











# Social-economic impact of delays

- Overall, middle ground preferred
- BUT speedy prosecution important:
  - grant may be condition of financing
  - grant = certainty to market
- Undoubtedly slow prosecution = reduced investment (Brazil good e.g. of this)
- Holy Grail: speed + rigorous examination
- Certainty = key word here...











# $\Diamond$



#### 38 European member states

- Belgium Germany France Luxembourg Netherlands Switzerland United Kingdom Sweden
- Italy Austria Liechtenstein Greece Spain Denmark Monaco Portugal Ireland Finland
- Cyprus Turkey Bulgaria Czech Republic Estonia Slovakia Slovenia Hungary Romania
- Poland Iceland Lithuania Latvia Malta Croatia Norway Former Yugoslav Rep. of Macedonia
- San Marino Albania Serbia

#### 2 European extension states

Bosnia-Herzegovina 
Montenegro

#### 2 Validation states

- Morocco
- Republic of Moldova















### OR PUT ANOTHER WAY...





 $\widehat{a}$ 







# EARLY CERTAINTY ("EC")

- Initiative started in 2014 with ECfS from search (search report within 6 mths of filing)
- Extended in 2016: now ECfE from examination; and ECfO from Opposition
- ECfE: grant within 12 mths from examination
  - $\rightarrow$  exam fee refund changes
- ECfO: down from 26 mths to 15 mths
  - → reduction in patentee/Opponent reply periods and oral proceedings deadlines
  - $\rightarrow$  preliminary view of Opp Division from patentee reply
  - ightarrow backlog of Opp files fell by c.75% in 2015/6











# EARLY CERTAINTY (cont.)

EPO aims to provide by 2020

- Prior art search & written opinion within : 6 mths
- Examination on average within: 12 mths
- Opposition (standard case) within: 15 mths



CONGRESSO INTERNACIONAL DA PROPRIEDADE INTELECTUAL DA ABPI INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - ABPI BRASIL 20 A 22 DE AGOSTO RJ 2017 WINDSOR BARRA HOTEL





### HOW ACHIEVED...?

- Not always been a swift system
- Drive for speed has been a long process
- Formal rules implemented to ensure Examiners act swiftly
- Sophisticated software to automate sequencing

#### DOWNSIDES?

- Recruitment drive for Examiners = less experienced juniors
- Substantial increase in OPs...









#### 2016 - EPO STATISTICS

#### > Application level remains high > Fastest growing technology fields > Origin of applications



SSOCIAÇÃO BRASILEIRA DA PROPRIEDADE TELECTUA

INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - ABP



### CONCLUSIONS

- Delays can be beneficial... but usually only to patentees...
- But speed and efficiency will drive innovation and investment
- Speed ≠ necessarily technically complex solutions
- Slowing down generally not a formal option







